

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 690 (2005) 2351-2357

www.elsevier.com/locate/jorganchem

Mono- and diboronates derived from tridentate ONO ligands and arylboronic acids

Victor Barba^{a,*}, Julián Vázquez^b, Fernando López^b, Rosa Santillan^b, Norberto Farfán^b

^a Centro de Investigaciones Químicas, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, Av. Universidad 1001, C.P. 62210 Cuernavaca, Morelos, México ^b Departamento de Química, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, México D.F. 07000, A.P. 14-740, México

> Received 19 November 2004; revised 28 January 2005; accepted 28 January 2005 Available online 20 April 2005

Abstract

The syntheses of eight [4.3.0] heterobicyclic boronates containing a $N \rightarrow B$ coordinative bond are described. The monomeric compounds were prepared by reaction of arylboronic acids with a tridentate ligand having the ONO donor set of atoms. It was shown that substituents at the *para*-position of the B-phenyl moiety transmit electronic effects to the C=N bond which in turn is polarized by formation of the N \rightarrow B coordination bond. At the same time, related tridentate ligands were also reacted with 1,4-benzenediboronic acid in order to prepare benzene diboron complexes. The structure of this type of compounds was confirmed by X-ray analysis for one of the derivatives.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Tridentate ligands; Boron; Schiff base; Hammett equation; 1,4-benzene diboronic acid

1. Introduction

The use of tridentate ligands in coordination chemistry provides a facile means to stabilize transition metals [1] and main group elements [2] taking advantage of the chelate effect. Thus, it has been reported that tridentate ligands having an ONO donor set of atoms react with main group elements to give stable heterocycles [3]. In many cases, the stabilization of the complexes has been increased by the presence of coordinative bonds between the nitrogen atom and the corresponding main group element [2c,4].

In the course of our studies on the reaction of tridentate ONO ligands, we have established the synthesis of several monomeric and dimeric boron complexes [5] and have found that the formation of the different compounds depends on the conformation and structure of the ligand. For example, the reaction of phenylboronic acid with the tridentate ligand derived from salicylaldehyde and 2-aminophenol, leads to a [4.3.0] bicyclic boron compound (Scheme 1, I) [6] which is stabilized by the presence of an intramolecular $N \rightarrow B$ coordinative bond. The existence of electronic charge transfer complexes (Scheme 1, II) [7], suggests that the electronic delocalization present in the free ligand is retained upon formation of the coordinative bond. Nonetheless, coordination of the nitrogen atom to the boron atom polarizes the C=N double bond, as evidenced by the changes in ¹H NMR chemical shifts of the azomethine group and IR bands [5,6]. This polarization is confirmed also by the facile, stereoselective addition of acetone in these compounds (Scheme 1, III) [8] but not in the free ligand. At present, there is increasing interest in this type of compounds, because some of them are useful in propylene polymerization reactions [9] via the formation of a boron cation.

Corresponding author. Tel./fax: 52 777 3297997.

E-mail addresses: vbarba@ciq.uaem.mx (V. Barba), jfarfan@ cinvestav.mx (N. Farfán).

⁰⁰²²⁻³²⁸X/\$ - see front matter © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2005.01.060



Scheme 1. Examples of some monomeric boron compounds. (I) Heterobicycle formed by the presence of an intramolecular $N \rightarrow B$ coordination bond. (II) Electronic charge transfer boron complex with a push–pull effect. (III) The addition of acetone to compound I is stereoselective.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of the monomeric boron complexes 2a-2h.

In the present work, several new monomeric boron complexes analogous to I that contain different substituents at the *para*-position of the B-aryl moiety (Scheme 2) were synthesized to evaluate the transmission of electronic effects to the C=N bond as a means to control and predict, in the future, the reactivity of the azomethine group. Additionally, the new diboronates described herein allow evaluation of the effect of alternate conjugated systems on the electronic structure of the complex.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Preparation and characterization of the monomeric boron complexes **2a–2h**

Addition of different arylboronic acids to tridentate ligand **1a** derived from salicylaldehyde and 2-aminophenol [6] containing the ONO donor set of atoms, lead to formation of the monomeric compounds **2a–2h**. As mentioned above, the arylboronic acids selected for this study enclose different substituents at the *para*-position of the phenyl moiety (R = F, Cl, Br, Me, OMe, CHO, COCH₃, and CF₃), in order to evaluate the influence of electronic effects on the C=N bond (Scheme 2). The [4.3.0] heterobicyclic boronates **2a–2h** were obtained in moderate yields and are stable to moisture due to the presence of two B–O covalent bonds and a N \rightarrow B coordinative bond, in addition to the chelate effect. The formation of monomeric boron compounds was confirmed by EI-mass spectra. In all eight cases, the molecular ion $[M]^+$ was observed and the base peak (m/z = 222) corresponds to the loss of the aryl group attached to boron atom $[M - Ar]^+$. The C=N stretching band in the IR spectra is found between 1626 and 1634 cm⁻¹, and is shifted to lower wave numbers with respect to the free ligand $(\Delta v = 2-12 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ owing to the formation of the N \rightarrow B coordination bond.

The influence that is generated on the C=N group by the substituents at the *para*-position of the B-aryl moiety, can be analyzed from the NMR data. As a direct consequence of the formation of the $N \rightarrow B$ bond, the ¹H NMR data shows that the signals corresponding to the azomethine group (H-7), are shifted to lower frequencies in the boron complexes ($\delta = 8.30 - 8.42$ ppm) when compared to the free ligand ($\delta = 8.97$ ppm). The differences in the shifts can be attributed to the electronic changes induced by the substituents; the signals shifted to lower frequencies ($\delta = 8.30, 8.31$ ppm) correspond to compounds 2d and 2e which contain electron donor groups (Me and MeO), while those at higher frequency ($\delta = 8.41$, 8.42 ppm) correspond to compounds 2g and 2h, which have an electron withdrawing effect due to the presence of the $-CF_3$ and -COMe groups. Fig. 1 shows that there is a linear correlation between the σ Hammett values [10] and the ¹H NMR chemical shifts (δ), giving evidence of the electronic effect produced by the para-substituents in the B-phenyl moiety. Substituent effects are also evident in the B-CPh bond



Fig. 1. Correlation between the ¹H NMR chemical shifts (δ) of the imine proton versus the σ Hammett values for the *para*-substituents in the monomeric boron complexes. Equation: σ Hammett = 6.45*(δ , ¹H) – 54.119, *R* = 0.9614. The chemical shift value for **I** was taken from [6].

lengths, as has been shown for a series of dimeric boron complexes [11].

A similar behavior was observed in the ¹³C NMR data in relation to the C=N group. In all compounds, the signal corresponding to the C=N group is shifted to lower frequency (ca. $\Delta \delta = 12.0$ ppm) compared to that of the free ligand; particularly complexes containing electron donor groups showed the lowest frequency values. The fact that the boron atoms are in a tetrahedral environment was deduced from the ¹¹B NMR spectra, wherein the chemical shifts were observed in a range of $\delta =$ 7–8 ppm, that is typical for this type of complexes [5].

Crystals of compound 2c that were suitable for Xray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a concentrated solution of 2c in CHCl₃. Crystallographic data and selected geometrical parameters are listed in Tables 1 and 2. The molecular structure is shown in Fig. 2 confirming the formation of a monomeric compound, in which an intramolecular $N \to B$ coordinative bond forms the [4.3.0] heterobicycle. In the crystal lattice, two enantiomeric molecules of 2c are located at the same site, as a consequence, the C=N group was disordered in a statistic probability of 50:50, to refine this disorder the PART instruction was used [12]. The $N \rightarrow B$ bond lengths were found to be comparable with that of compound I; (1.611(8)/1.625(13) Å for 2c and 1.613(5) Å for I). The two B-O bond distances in compound **2c** are equivalent $(d_{B-O} = 1.470(6)/1.477(6))$ most probably because of the disorder, since they are an average of those found in compound I (d_{B-O} = 1.459(5)/1.503(5) Å). The most important difference between compounds 2c and I is the C=N bond distance, which is shorter in I (1.249(4) Å) than in compound 2c (1.285(8)/1.285(15) Å). This variation can be attributed to the electronic effect of the bromine atom at the

Table 1					
Crystallographic	data	for	2c	and	3e

, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	2c	3e
Empirical formula	C ₁₉ H ₂₃ BBrNO ₂	$C_{38}H_{34}B_2N_2O_4 \cdot CHCl_3$
Formula weight	378.20	723.52
Crystal size (mm ³)	$0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.3$	$0.48 \times 0.36 \times 0.32$
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/n$	$P2_{1}/c$
Unit cell dimensions		
a (Å)	5.8960(10)	9.051(2)
b (Å)	17.342(3)	19.368(4)
<i>c</i> (Å)	15.840(3)	11.796(2)
β (°)	93.39(3)	99.21(3)
Volume (Å ³)	1616.8(5)	2041.2(7)
Ζ	4	4
D_{calc} (g/cm ³)	1.553	1.372
Absorption coefficient	2.553	0.464
(mm^{-1})	2100	2702
Collected reflections	3189	3/92
Independent reflections	2826	3558
Parameters	237	244
Final R indices [I > 2 sigma(I)]	R = 0.0477	R = 0.0485
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	wR = 0.1407	wR = 0.1699
Goodness-of-fit	1.004	1.011

Table	2
-------	---

Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 2c and 3e

	$2c^{a}$	3e
Bond distances (Å)		
B(1)–N(1)	1.611(8)/1.625(13)	1.616(5)
B(1)–O(1)	1.470(6)	1.467(5)
B(1)–O(2)	1.477(6)	1.449(5)
B(1)-C _{Ph}	1.604(6)	1.620(5)
N(1)–C(7)	1.285(8)/1.285(15)	1.290(4)
N(1)–C(8)	1.412(8)	1.486(5)
Bond angles (°)		
O(1)-B(1)-N(1)	114.3(4)/123.0(5)	105.6(2)
O(1)-B(1)-O(2)	111.9(3)	113.5(2)
O(1)-B(1)-C _{Ph}	112.0(4)	113.9(3)
O(2)-B(1)-N(1)	94.4(4)/86.8(5)	98.4(2)
O(2)-B(1)-C _{Ph}	112.5(3)	109.9(2)
N(1)-B(1)-C _{Ph}	110.6(4)/108.0(5)	114.5(3)

^a There are two positions for the C(7) and N(1) atoms because of the presence of two enantiomeric molecules at the same site in the crystal lattice.

para-position of the B-phenyl moiety, giving additional evidence for the polarization change in the C=N group.

2.2. Preparation and characterization of the diboronate complexes **3a-3e**

When the same ligand used for the preparation of monomeric derivatives was allowed to react with 1,4benzenediboronic acid in a 1:1 ratio, the monochelated complex was not formed but instead the diboronate **3a** was obtained in low yields (ca. 40%). The yield was increased to 89%, when the reaction was carried out in a



Fig. 2. Molecular structure of compound 2c.

2:1 ratio (Scheme 3). Additionally, ligand **1b** was used for the reaction with diboronic acid to determine if diboronate [4.4.0] heterobicycles are also formed.

The formation of diboronates 3a and 3b was established by FAB-mass spectrometry, which permitted to observe peaks for the molecular ions [M]⁺. The NMR spectra showed only signals for half of the molecule, which indicate the symmetric nature of the complex (at least in solution). The ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 3a shows that the signal corresponding to the hydrogen atom of the C=N group, is shifted to high frequency ($\Delta \delta = 0.17$ ppm) with respect to the free ligand and also in relation to the monomeric boronates (2a-2h). This observation suggests that the boron atom located at the para-position creates a deshielding effect on the hydrogen of the imine group. These results are supported by the fact that the signal for the carbon atom (C-7) in the ¹³C NMR spectrum, is shifted to high frequency ($\delta = 152.4$ ppm) in relation to the chemical shift observed for the analogous carbon atoms in compounds 2a-2h. Similar results concerning the symmetry and

chemical shifts were found for compound **3b**, in this case, the signal for H-7 observed in ¹H NMR was shifted to $\delta = 9.25$ ppm, and for C-7 at $\delta = 158.5$ ppm in ¹³C NMR. The hydrogen and carbon atoms at position 15 gave signals at $\delta = 6.87/130.2$ and 6.84/130.6 ppm, for **3a** and **3b**, respectively. The ¹¹B NMR spectra showed signals at $\delta = 7.6$ and 6.7 ppm for **3a** and **3b**, respectively, evidencing the tetrahedral character of the atoms.

For comparison, related compounds were synthesized by reaction of tridentate ligands 1c-1e with 1,4-benzenediborobic acid. Ligands 1c-1e have H, Me and Ph groups at the imine position and are derived from propanol amine; this type of ligands favor the formation of complexes with a N \rightarrow B coordinative bond containing two six-membered rings around each boron atom (Scheme 4). The diboronate compounds 3c-3e were obtained and their structure was confirmed by observation of the corresponding molecular ion [M]⁺ in the FABmass spectra.

The spectroscopic data also established the symmetrical nature of these compounds. The IR spectra of compounds 3c-3e showed strong bands for the C=N group at 1628, 1618 and 1606 cm^{-1} , respectively. The ¹H NMR spectra showed diastereotopic signals in the range of $\delta = 1.50$ and 4.00 ppm, which correspond to the CH₂ groups. As a consequence of the inductive effect of the organic group (H, Me, and Ph) present at the C=N moiety, the hydrogen atoms of the aromatic bridge (H-12) presented different shifts, $\delta = 7.09$, 7.20 and 7.47 ppm for 3c-3e, respectively, all of them shifted to higher frequency in relation to 3a and 3b whereby the planarity of the ligand produced a shielding effect. In the case of compound 3c, the signal corresponding to the hydrogen atom of the HC=N fragment was observed at δ = 7.09 ppm. The ¹³C NMR spectra showed signals at $\delta = 171.9$ and 170.3 ppm for **3d** and **3e**, respectively, corresponding to the azomethine group (C-7); while the signals for C-12 were observed at δ = 131.3 and 131.2 ppm for 3d and 3e. The ¹³C NMR spectrum for 3c could not



Scheme 3. Synthesis of the diboronate complexes 3a-3b.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of the diboronate complexes 3c-3e derived from aliphatic amino alcohols.

be obtained due to poor solubility of the compound. Interestingly, the phenyl group attached to the azomethine moiety in compound **3e** shows two distinct signals for the atoms at the *ortho-* and *meta*-positions in the proton and carbon NMR spectra, probably due to restricted rotation of the aromatic ring.

In addition to the NMR and mass spectrometry analysis, the molecular structure of 3e was confirmed by Xray crystallography. The most relevant crystallographic data are summarized in Table 1, selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 2. The structure of 3e contains an inversion center located at the center of the aromatic ring that bridges the two boron chelates and has therefore a C_i punctual group symmetry. The configurations of the boron atoms are R and S. As can be seen from the molecular structure shown in Fig. 3, compound 3e contains two fused six-membered rings at each side of the central "BC₆H₄B" moiety. Each one of these heterobicycles is formed through a $N \rightarrow B$ coordinative, which has a bond length of 1.616(5) Å that is comparable to that of compound 2c. The six-membered heterocycle containing the C=N moiety has an envelop conformation, with the boron atom having the largest deviation from the mean plane ($\Delta = -0.671$ Å). The six-membered heterocycles containing the aliphatic chains have chair conformations.

The bond lengths and angles are similar to those of other boronates [5], for instance, the values for the an-



Fig. 3. Molecular structure of compound 3e.

gles around the boron atoms are in the range from 104.2° to 115.1° which is an indication of the distorted tetrahedral geometry of the boron atom. The phenyl group attached to the C=N group is oriented nearly perpendicular to the mean plane of the salicylaldehyde, as evidenced by the C(12)–C(11)–C(7)–C(1) and C(12)–C(11)–C(7)–N(1) torsion angles which have values of -73.52° and 106.6°, respectively. In a similar way, the N \rightarrow B coordination bonds have an eclipsed conformation with respect to the bridging aromatic moiety whereby the torsion angle C(19)–C(17)–B(1)–N(1) has a value of only 0.80°.

At the supramolecular level the molecules are organized so that two adjacent molecules show interactions involving the aromatic fragments. There is (i) a parallel displacement through $\pi \cdots \pi$ interactions with a distance of 3.71 Å and, (ii) a T-shaped arrangement with an intermolecular C-H $\cdots \pi$ distance of 2.87 Å (Fig. 4) [13].



Fig. 4. Schematic representation showing the $\pi \cdots \pi$ and $CH \cdots \pi$ aromatic interactions presents in **3e**.

2.3. Conclusions

The present study shows that substituents attached to the phenyl moiety of boronates in the *para*-position transmit electronic effects to the C=N bond. Electron withdrawing groups induce a deshielding effect on the azomethine group and electron donating groups cause the opposite effect, as evidenced by the ¹H NMR data. The presence of an additional boronate in the B-phenyl moiety (compounds 3a-3e), enhances the deshielding effect due to increased electronic delocalization between the two chelates present in the diboronates. This possibility to modulate the electrophilicity of the imine bond could allow, in the near future, to control nucleophilic attack on azomethine groups involved in boron complexes. Furthermore, in the case of formation of the diboronate compounds, it was noticed that the presence of aromatic or aliphatic chains in the ligand has no effect on the course of the reaction.

3. Experimental part

3.1. Instrumental

NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature using a Bruker 300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are given in ppm. Infrared spectra have been recorded on a Perkin–Elmer 16F-PC FT-IR spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were obtained with a HP 5989-A mass spectrometer operating in the electron impact mode. Melting points were determined with a Gallenkamp MFB-595 apparatus.

3.2. X-ray crystallography

Crystal structure determination of 2c and 3e. Crystals suitable for X-ray structure analysis were grown by slow evaporation of concentrated CHCl₃ solutions of the complexes. Intensity data were collected at 293 K with an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer, Mo Ka-radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, graphite monochromator. Empirical absorption corrections (DIFABS) were applied. The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS-86) [14] and refined using SHELXL-97 [12]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions using a riding model. Crystallographic data have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as supplementary material Nos. 256294 and 256295 for 2c and 3e, respectively. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK. E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

3.3. Preparative part

All reagents were purchased from Aldrich and were used without further purification. All five ligands used (1a-1e) [5a,5b,5c,6] as well as 1,4-benzenediboronic acid [15], were synthesized in accordance to reported methods.

3.3.1. General method for the preparation of the monomeric boron complexes $2a-2h^1$

Compounds **2a–2h** were synthesized by reaction of equimolecular quantities of ligand **1a** and the corresponding arylboronic acid. The reaction mixture was dissolved in THF and refluxed. After 1 h under stirring, the water and part of the solvent were removed with a Dean-Stark trap. The solvent was completely removed using a vacuum pump and the product was washed with several portions of hexane.

3.3.1.1. 2-(4-fluorophenyl)-dibenzo-[d,h]-6-aza-1,3-dioxa-2-boracyclonon-6-ene (2a). 2a was prepared from 0.21 g (1.50 mmol) of 1a and 0.32 g (1.50 mmol) of 4-fluorophenylboronic acid. A yellow solid was obtained, yield 67% (0.32 g, 1.00 mmol), m.p. = 206–208 °C. IR v (KBr) 1626 (C=N), 1608, 1550, 1468, 1376, 1174, 952, 824, 742 cm⁻¹. EI-MS m/z (%), 317 (M⁺, 6), 222 $([M - C_6H_4F]^+, 100), 95 (7), 77 (16), 75 (10), 50 (6).$ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.35 (1H, s, H-7), 7.57 (1H, ddd, J = 8.5, 7.2, 1.6 Hz, H-4), 7.46 (1H, dd,J = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, H-10), 7.40 (1H, dd, J = 7.2, 1.6 Hz, H-6), 7,37 (1H, td, J = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, H-12), 7.33 (2H, dd, J = 8.9, 2.0 Hz, H-o), 7.21 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-3), 7.11 (1H, dd, J = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, H-13), 6.96 (1H, td, J = 7.2, 1.6 Hz, H-5), 6.92 (1H, td, J = 7.9, 1.3, Hz, H-11), 6.84, (2H, dd, J = 8.9, 4.0 Hz, H-m) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 158.6 (C-2), 157.4 (C-9), 149.0 (C-7), 138.2 (C-4), 133.0 (C-o), 132.6 (C-12, m), 131.6 (C-6), 130.5 (C-8), 120.6 (C-5), 120.3 (C-3), 119.2 (C-11, 1), 115.4 (C-13), 115.2 (C-10), 114.2 (C*p*) ppm. ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.7 ($h_{1/2}$ = 143 Hz) ppm. Anal. Calc. C, 71.92; H, 4.10; N, 4.42. Found: C, 71.57; H, 4.08; N, 4.36%.

3.3.2. General method for the preparation of diboronate complexes **3a–3e**

Compounds 3a-3e were synthesized using two equivalents of ligands 1a-1e and one equivalent of 1,4-benzenediboronic acid. The reaction was refluxed under THF, after 1 h under stirring, the water and part of the solvent was removed with a Dean-Stark trap. The solid was precipitated and filtered; finally the product was washed with several portions of hexane.

¹ Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: experimental and spectroscopic data for complexes **2b–2h** and **3b–3e**.

3.3.2.1. 1,4-Benzene-bis[1-[2-[1-(2-phenolate-кО)-iminomethyl- κN]-phenolate- κO]-boronate (3a). 3a was prepared from 0.50 g (2.34 mmol) of ligand 1a and 0.19 g (1.17 mmol) of 1,4-benzenediboronic acid. A yellow solid was obtained, yield 89% (0.54 g, 1.04 mmol) slightly soluble in DMSO- d_6 , m.p. = 354–356 °C. IR v (KBr): 3314, 2942, 1608(C=N), 1572, 1442, 1056, 754, 702 cm⁻¹. FAB-MS *m*/*z*: 520. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 9.14 (1H, s, H-7), 7.74 (1H, d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, H-10), 7.58-7.52 (2H, m, H-12, H-13) 7.30 (1H, t, J = 7.9 Hz, H-4), 7.04 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H-6), 6.97 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz, H-11), 6.94 (1H, d, J = 7.9 Hz,H-3), 6.91 (1H, t, J = 7.9 Hz, H-5), 6.87 (1H, s, H-15) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 158.3 (C-2), 157.0 (C-9), 152.4 (C-7), 138.1 (C-4), 132.7 (C-6), 132.3 (C-12), 130.9 (C-8), 130.2 (C-15), 120.7 (C-5), 119.9 (C-1), 119.8 (C-3), 119.7 (C-11), 117.1 (C-13), 114.3 (C-10) ppm. ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz, DMSO d_6) δ : 7.6 ppm ($h_{1/2}$ = 960 Hz). Anal. Calc. C, 73.89; H, 4.26; N, 5.38. Found: C, 73.67; H; 4.08; N, 5.21%.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (*CONACyT*, México) for financial support and Q. Ma. Luisa Rodríguez for NMR spectra.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/ j.jorganchem.2005.01.060.

References

[1] (a) S. Dutta, A. Chakratvorty, Polyhedron 13 (1994) 1811;
 (b) M.J. Clague, N.L. Keder, A. Butler, Inorg. Chem. 32 (1993) 5343;

(c) J.U. Mondal, F.A. Schultz, T.D. Brennan, W.R. Scheidt, Inorg. Chem. 27 (1988) 3950;

- (d) E.Y. Tshuva, M. Versano, I. Goldberg, M. Kol, H. Weitman, Z. Goldschmidt, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2 (1999) 371.
- [2] (a) C.Y. Wong, R. McDonald, Inorg. Chem. 35 (1996) 325;
 (b) M. Caligaris, L. Randaccio, in: G. Wilkinson, R.D. Gillard, J. McCleverty (Eds.), Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry, 2, Pergamon Press, Elmsford, NY, 1987;
 (c) D.A. Atwood, M.J. Harvey, Chem. Rev. 101 (2001) 37.
- [3] (a) Y. Li, Y. Liu, W. Bu, J. Guo, Y. Wang, Chem. Commun. (2000) 1551;
 (b) N. Yalçin, A. Kenar, C. Arici, O. Atakol, M. Tastekin, Main Group Met. Chem. 24 (2001) 247;
 (c) H.I. Beltrán, L.S. Zamudio-Rivera, T. Mancilla, R. Santillan, N. Farfán, Chem. Eur. J. 9 (2003) 2291;
 (d) M. Sánchez, O. Sánchez, H. Höpfl, M.E. Ochoa, D. Castillo, N. Farfán, S. Rojas-Lima, J. Organomet. Chem. 689 (2004) 811:

(e) N. Farfán, H. Höpfl, V. Barba, M.E. Ochoa, R. Santillan, E. Gómez, A. Gutiérrez, J. Organomet. Chem. 581 (1999) 70.

- [4] H. Höpfl, J. Organomet. Chem. 581 (1999) 129.
- [5] (a) H. Höpfl, M. Sánchez, V. Barba, N. Farfán, S. Rojas, R. Santillan, Inorg. Chem. 37 (1998) 1679;
 (b) V. Barba, D. Cuahutle, M.E. Ochoa, R. Santillan, N. Farfán, Inorg. Chim. Acta 303 (2000) 7;
 (c) V. Barba, R. Xochipa, R. Santillan, N. Farfán, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2004) 118.
- [6] H. Höpfl, M. Sánchez, N. Farfán, V. Barba, Can. J. Chem. 76 (1998) 1352.
- [7] H. Reyes, B.M. Muñoz, N. Farfán, R. Santillan, S. Rojas-Lima, P.G. Lacroix, K. Nakani, J. Mater. Chem. 12 (2002) 2898.
- [8] V. Barba, D. Cuahutle, R. Santillan, N. Farfán, Can. J. Chem. 79 (2001) 1229.
- [9] P. Wei, D. Atwood, Inorg. Chem. 37 (1998) 4934.
- [10] C.D. Johnson, The Hammett Equation, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973.
- [11] V. Barba, R. Luna, D. Castillo, R. Santillan, N. Farfán, J. Organomet. Chem. 604 (2000) 273.
- [12] L.M. Glówka, D. Martynowski, K. Kozlowska, J. Mol. Struct. 474 (1999) 81.
- [13] G.M. Sheldrick, sheLXs-86, Program for Crystal Structure Solution, University of Goettingen, Germany, 1986.
- [14] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement, University of Goettingen, Germany, 1997.
- [15] I.G.C. Coutts, H.R. Goldschmid, C. Musgrave, J. Chem. Soc. C (1970) 488.